

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
DISTRICT OF NEVADA

\* \* \*

BOARD OF TRUSTEES OF THE  
PLUMBERS AND PIPEFITTERS UNION  
LOCAL 525 HEALTH AND WELFARE  
TRUST AND PLAN, *et al.*,

Plaintiffs,

v.

SECURITY PLUMBING & AIR  
CONDITIONING,

Defendant.

BOARD OF TRUSTEES OF THE  
PLUMBERS AND PIPEFITTERS  
LOCAL 525 HEALTH AND WELFARE  
TRUST AND PLAN, *et al.*,

Plaintiffs,

v.

FRANCISCO GONZALEZ-FARIAS, *et*  
*al.*,

Defendants.

TRUSTEES OF THE PLUMBERS AND  
PIPEFITTERS NATIONAL PENSION  
FUND AND INTERNATIONAL  
TRAINING FUND,

Plaintiffs,

v.

SECURITY PLUMBING & AIR  
CONDITIONING, *et al.*,

Defendants.

Lead Case No.: 2:14-cv-01027-APG-PAL

Member Case No.: 2:15-cv-00824-APG-PAL

Member Case No. 2:15-cv-01148-APG-PAL

**ORDER ACCEPTING REPORT AND  
RECOMMENDATION AND STRIKING  
ANSWERS**

(ECF Nos. 62, 84)

On October 24, 2016, Magistrate Judge Leen entered her Report and Recommendation recommending that I strike the Answers filed by defendants Security Plumbing and Air Conditioning; Mountain Lion Plumbing, Inc.; Francisco Gonzalez-Farias; and Gabriella Navarro. ECF No. 84. No objection has been filed to that Report and Recommendation. Thus, I am not

1 required to conduct “any review at all ... of any issue that is not the subject of an objection.” *Thomas*  
2 *v. Arn*, 474 U.S. 140, 149 (1985). The Ninth Circuit has confirmed that a district court is not  
3 required to review a magistrate judge’s report and recommendation where no objection has been  
4 filed. *See United States v. Reyna–Tapia*, 328 F.3d 1114, 1121 (9th Cir. 2003) (“[T]he district judge  
5 must review the magistrate judge’s findings and recommendations de novo if objection is made, but  
6 not otherwise.”); *see also Schmidt v. Johnstone*, 263 F.Supp.2d 1219, 1226 (D.Ariz. 2003) (Based  
7 on *Thomas* and *Reyna–Tapia*, “district courts are not required to conduct any review at all . . . of  
8 any issue that is not the subject of an objection.”). Because there is no objection to Judge Leen’s  
9 recommendation, I may accept the recommendation without review. Therefore,

10 IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the Report and Recommendation is accepted. Plaintiffs  
11 Trustees of the Plumbers and Pipefitters National Pension Fund and International Training Funds’  
12 Motion to Strike Answer (**ECF No. 62**) and the Limited Joinder thereto (**ECF No. 65**) **are**  
13 **GRANTED**. The Answers filed by defendants Security Plumbing and Air Conditioning; Mountain  
14 Lion Plumbing, Inc.; Francisco Gonzalez-Farias; and Gabriella Navarro are stricken.

15 Dated: November 30, 2016.



16  
17 ANDREW P. GORDON  
18 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28